

# 2023 General Assembly Minutes

## Compromise Amendment Session (CAS) 1 - 2023-05-05

### Proposed Presidency members

Chairing: Henry Winckle

Assistant: Miriam Shaw

Minutes: Filipe Henriques

### General info:

Highlight decisions made according to following:

**This was accepted** = accepted resolution/amendment

**This will be further discussed** = resolutions/amendment that will be further discussed

**This is going to be a vote** = resolutions/amendment that will be voted upon

## Discussion of the Statutes

### Amendment I2 A1

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment, explaining that the changes proposed are mostly of language as per proposal from a lawyer.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

### Amendment I2 A2

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment, explaining that this is also per suggestion of the lawyer.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

### Amendment I2 A3

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents explaining that the democratic principle is added as FYEG is a democratic organisation and clarifies membership criteria and that only full members need to fulfil the criteria of being a legal entity.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

### Amendment I2 A4



Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment explaining that the reason for this is clarity as follows lawyer's indications.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment I2 A5

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment I2 A6

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment I2 A7

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment explaining that the reason is for clarity.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment I2 A8

Marie Stadler from the FYEG Executive Committee presents the amendment explaining that this is for the voting rules to be clearly stated and comes mostly from the IRPs.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### Statutes as a whole

Henry Winckle (proposed Presidency) opens the floor for any other speakers about the statutes as a whole.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

### Discussion of the Internal Rules of Procedure

#### Amendment I1 A1



Marie Stadler (FYEG Executive Committee) presents. Reason is for clarity and based on the lawyer's advice.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A2

Marie Stadler (FYEG Executive Committee) presents.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A3

Marie Stadler (FYEG Executive Committee) presents.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A4

Marie Stadler (FYEG Executive Committee) presents.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A5

Marie Stadler (FYEG Executive Committee) presents. Clarifies how the Secretary General can be dismissed only by the General Assembly.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A6

Marie Stadler (FYEG Executive Committee) presents. To streamline it with the statutes and delete duplicate information.

No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A7

Sebastian Knecht (Switzerland: Junge Grüne/Jeunes Vert-e-s/Giovani Verdi) presents. This changes the  $\frac{2}{3}$  majority which de facto would be 6 due to rounding into a  $\frac{3}{4}$  which is directly 6.

Srishagon (FYEG Executive Committee) understands the Swiss YG proposal, but the  $\frac{2}{3}$  majority is the usual type of majority and for consistency along majorities, thus proposes for the amendment not to be accepted.

No other person asked to speak.

**This will be further discussed by Swiss YG and FYEG EC**

Amendment I1 A8

Sebastian Knecht (Swiss YG) presents. The reasons are the same than for A7. Srish (FYEG EC) applies the same reasoning for A7. No other person asked to speak. **This will be further discussed by Swiss YG and FYEG EC**

Amendment I1 A9

Sebastian Knecht (Swiss YG) presents. A twice renewal would mean a too long mandate. A once renewal would guarantee renovation and not too long mandates, specially for people of poorer backgrounds that might not be able to run for such a long time. Srishagon (FYEG EC) is open to compromise on spokespersons but defends the twice renewal. No other person asked to speak. **This will be further discussed by Swiss YG and FYEG EC**

Amendment I1 A10

Sebastian Knecht (Swiss YG) presents. Due to a previous meeting with the EC, the Swiss YG withdraw the amendment. No other person asked to speak. **This was withdrawn**

Amendment I1 A11

Sebastian Knecht (Swiss YG) presents. In case of by-election we propose that 9 months as counting as a full mandate. Srish accepts in name of the EC. No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Amendment I1 A12

Sebastian Knecht (Swiss YG) presents. Based on a meeting with EC they will include this content in the financial plan. No other person asked to speak. **This was withdrawn**

Chairing changes from Henry to Miriam. Henry does the assistance.

## Financial Plan

Made by: FYEG

P3 A1

Sebastian Knecht (Swiss YG) presents. The goal is to make a link with the FCAC recommendations. Swiss YG believe it's important for the reasons of transparency and accountability. Marie Stadler (FYEG EC) is happy to support the amendment. No other person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

## SR1: Delaying the General Assembly for the EU24 Elections

Made by: FYEG

No person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

## SR 2: Decreasing the number of members of the FCAC

Made by: FYEG

No person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

## SR 3: Recognising Current and Next EC Mandate as Single Term

Made by: FYEG



No person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### SR 4: Retroactive Three-Year Mandate for the Secretary-General

Made by: FYEG

No person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

#### **IRPs (returning)**

##### IRPs as a whole

Henry explains we are returning to the previous point to cover the IRPs as a whole, since we only talked about the amendments. No person asked to speak.

**This was accepted**

Miriam ends the session as we discussed all the topics in the agenda, thanking all the present

## Compromise Amendment Session (CAS) 2 - 2023-05-08

Proposed Presidency members

Chairing: Eleanor

Assistant: Predrag

Minutes: Henry

Highlight decisions made according to following:

**This was accepted** = accepted resolution/amendment

**This will be further discussed** = resolutions/amendment that will be further discussed

**This is going to be a vote** = resolutions/amendment that will be voted upon

### Discussion of resolutions

#### **Resolution 1: End The Death Zone: Against The Inhumane Treatment Of Asylum Seekers On The Belarusian Border**

Made by: Protests, Ostra Zieleń

##### Amendment R1 A1:

Slrshagon Abraham (FYEG EC) presented the amendment.

Marta Bogustova (Protests) noted that Protests could accept the amendment.

**This was accepted**

#### **Resolution 2: Younger Institutions For A Greener Future**

Made by: Joves Ecosocialistes, Juventud Verde, Neoi Prasinoi

##### Amendment R2 A1:

Cristina Keßler (FYEG EC) presented the amendment.

**This was accepted**

Amendment R2 A2:

Cristina Keßler (FYEG EC) presented the amendment.

**This was accepted**

Amendment R2 A3:

Natalie Rehling (Young Greens of England and Wales) presented the amendment.

Nacho Sánchez Valdivia (Joves Ecosocialistes) asked for a clarification as to what the age limit in the FYEG Internal Rules of Procedures is.

Prishagon Abraham (FYEG EC) clarified what the FYEG Internal Rules of Procedures say on the matter, noting that it is different for different rules.

The amendment was withdrawn by Natalie Rehling (Young Greens of England and Wales)

Cristina Keßler (FYEG EC) noted that it may nevertheless be good to have a discussion on the matter.

**This will be further discussed**

Amendment R2 A4:

João Antonucci Rezende (Ecolo-j) presented the amendment.

Nacho Sánchez Valdivia (Joves Ecosocialistes) welcomed the amendment.

**This was accepted**

### **Resolution 8: Addressing The Structural Issues Underlying The Tide Of Disinformation**

Made by: Young Greens of England and Wales, Scottish Young Greens, Neoi Prasinoi, Forum Mladih URA

Amendment R8 A1:

Nacho Sánchez Valdivia (Joves Ecosocialistes) presented the amendment.

Benjamin Wold Birmanis (Young Greens of England and Wales) spoke against the amendment, noting that the wording was not acceptable.

**This will be further discussed**

Amendment R8 A2:

Nacho Sánchez Valdivia (Joves Ecosocialistes) presented the amendment, noting that while lists of power hierarchies are never complete, the far-right tends to particularly attack those groups included in the amendment and as such they would be good to mention.

Benjamin Wold Birmanis (Young Greens of England and Wales) accepted the amendment.

**This was accepted**

Amendment R8 A3:

Jon Ander Tapia (Juventad Verde) presented the amendment, stressing the importance of referencing particular vulnerable groups.

Benjamin Wold Birmanis (Young Greens of England and Wales) accepted the amendment.

**This was accepted**

Amendment R8 A4:

Cristina Keßler (FYEG EC) presented the amendment, noting that journalists are underpaid, and that it was therefore important to stress that it's not just non-profit journalists FYEG

supports but also for-profit ones, particularly as regards them not being curtailed by corporate interests either.

Benjamin Wold Birmanis (Young Greens of England and Wales) accepted the amendment.

**This was accepted**

Amendment R8 A5:

Cristina Keßler (FYEG EC) presented the amendment, Finds current wording “unfortunate”, potentially leaves space open to antisemitic conspiracy theories.

Benjamin Wold opposes, notes that while it is important to not give that impression, uses example of 86% of british media being pro-brexit. They want to stress media diversity in comparison to being hold together. Looks forward to fine-tuning wording.

Christina: Looking at this from different media landscapes. Happy to sit down.

**This will be further discussed**

**Resolution 9: Position Yourself On Digital Rights!**

Made by: écolo j, DWARS

R9 A1:

Nacho (JES) speaks in favour. Digital gap is real problem, particularly for rural areas, people with less formal education, marginalised groups, the elderly. Should be start for more fair and inclusive approach.

Joao (ecolo-j) speaks in favour, with comments. They really like it, but want more references because the whole thing is reference based.

Presidency: accepted, please send text.

**This was accepted**

R9 A2:

Marie speaks in favour, noting that we don’t know the position of other parties.

Joao (ecolo-j) notes that while the specific phrase was researched, they can accept.

**This was accepted**

R9 A3:

Marie speaks in favour, noting that this is not a content change, just rephrasing.

Joao (ecolo-j) accepts.

**This was accepted**

R9 A4:

Marie speaks in favour, noting that this is not a content change, just trying to make it clearer in its call for action. She notes that this is linked to the following amendment..

Joao (ecolo-j) accepts.

**This was accepted**

R9 A5:

Marie speaks in favour, noting that this is the same as the past. Makes proper calls to action similar to other resolutions. No real content change..

Joao (ecolo-j) accepts.

**This was accepted**



R9 A6:

Cameron (Scottish YGs) speaks in favour, noting that its in order to make the text clearer. Joao (ecolo-j) notes that he didn't understand the amendment, but accepts.

**This was accepted**

R9 A7:

Cameron (Scottish YGs) speaks in favour, the original text didn't read clearer, and as such this text was a bit clearer, a bit more brief..

Joao (ecolo-j) thanks the Scottish YGs for the text, noting it makes it clearer, and accepts.

**This was accepted**

**Resolution 13: Information Technologies And Intellectual Property Policy Within FYEG**

Made by: Swiss YG

R13 A1:

**This was withdrawn**

R13 A2.1 (title):

Sebastian spoke in favour, noting that the text is the previous text that was an annex to the IRPs. Following discussions with the EC and office, it was clarified that this may cause issues and as such the text was steered to be outward-focused.

**This was accepted**

R13A2.2 (tech giants compromise):

Sebastian speaks in favour of the compromise between Scottish YGs and Swiss YGs.

Pauline (GUNO) asks the proposers of the amendment what they mean by "dismantle tech giants".

Sebastian: We mean using anti-trust law to break them up.

Pauline: Need to work further.

**This will be further discussed**

R13A2.3 (text):

Sebastian notes that this rewrites the text as discussed.

Shrish noted that this thoroughly changes the text, and more time was needed to discuss it.

**This will be further discussed**

**Resolution 4: A harvest of change**

Made by: DWARS, GroenLinkse Jongeren

R4 A1:

Nacho spoke in favour, stressing the importance of small farmers.

Luna (DWARS) accepted, noting that while they thought this had been mentioned elsewhere it was good.

**This was accepted**

R4 A2:

Nacho spoke in favour, stressing again the importance of small farmers.

Luna (DWARS) accepted, noting that they thought they had mentioned it elsewhere too.



**This was accepted**

R4 A3:

Benjamin (YGEW) spoke in favour, noting the importance of conflict of interest in light of recent events. There is a lot of conflict of interest laws, and as such this is good to look at. Luna (DWARS) noted that while she agreed, it might be good to have a reference to make this point a bit more tangible..

Benjamin (YGEW) happy to look at wording

**This will be further discussed**

### **Resolution 6: Concrete social policies for a real social and fair Europe**

Made by: Les Jeunes Ecologistes, Joves Ecosocialistes, écolo j

R6 A1:

Cameron (Scottish YGs) supports their amendment, clarifying why they want to delete the entire text and replace it with something else

Maelle (LJE) is happy to have a discussion about this, but they are not in favour of deleting everything.

Marie: EC ready to be included and help out in rewriting.

Cameron: Happy to discuss.

**This will be further discussed**

R6 A2:

Marie (EC) supports their amendment, noting that it shifts the call of action, but it does this in light of the EU elections.

Maelle (LJE) accepts the amendment, welcomes the explanation and reasoning..

**This was accepted**

R6 A3:

Not discussed

### **Resolution 7: Touristification: their holidays, our misery**

Made by: Joves Ecosocialistes and Neoi Prasinoi

R7 A1:

Antonio (EC) supports the amendment - it adds one word (hostelry) and this is clear.

Nacho (JE) in question disagrees, they think it's too specific.

Asher (Jong Groen) thinks hospitality would be a better word, and generally has viewpoints that are difficult to follow.

Antonio (EC) hostelry is better in spanish context. Don't agree with Nacho, tourism affects more things than just hotels and apartments.

Nacho (JE) believes that tourism includes hospitality and other things, and as such we don't agree.

**This will be further discussed**

R7 A2:

Antonio (EC) supports the amendment, this is in view of outermost regions, where local, regional, and national governments have more interest in tourism sectors than the local people.

Nacho (JE) we agree with the idea that you are suggesting, but we think that this is already in the call for actions. If you want, we can sit and discuss to find how to do this best.

**This will be further discussed**

#### R7 A3:

Nacho (JE) supports amendment, is own resolution, it was added because there was feeling this wasn't sufficiently developed in paragraph.

Evi (Jong Groen): Wants the term "feminine people" instead of women.

Hannah (Scottish Young Greens): Should generally be looked at for wording - is "vulnerablised" a word?

Nacho (JE): Will work with others.

**This will be further discussed**

#### R7 A4:

Proposer does not speak on it.

Nacho (JE) likes examples, but when they were writing they included some examples then removed, because they don't want to specify too much. As such, they didn't include. Would suggest others follow their example.

Mara (Neoi Praseno): it has been mentioned that this happens specifically in the med, is there therefore a need to really mention all this specifically?

**This will be further discussed**

#### R7 A5:

Benjamin (YGEW) speaks in favour, as they want to also capture language on non-EU countries.

Mara (Neoi Praseno) agrees, is fine.

**This was accepted**

### **Resolution 11: 2% of GDP towards climate solutions**

Made by: Jong Groen

#### R11 A1:

A1, paragraph 1-8

Hannah SYGs: Support amendment. The opening paragraph says that we should have a just transition, but every country should pay - we don't think that's very just, it's not developing countries that have responsibility.

Ellen (JG): We can agree to some rephrasing. Agree to first paragraph (line 1-8). Want further discussion on others:

**This was accepted**

#### A1, paragraph 14-22

Hannah (SYGs): 14-22 was just for clarity/brevity, but if there are parts you want to keep we'd be happy to come up with a compromise.

Ellen (JG): we understand why you took out the PP, but we put it there to be perpetually relevant to the latest PP of FYEG.

**This will be further discussed**

A1. paragraph 25-42

Hannah (SYG) (25-42): SYG disagrees that energy efficiency and energy grids should be the main thing for future. Want some explanation.

Ellen (JG) We can understand why the wording on it being the no. 1 priority is problematic, and part on energy grids. Can accept.

Sebastian (Swiss YGs): Important to keep first little bit of sentence.

Hannah (SYGs) happy then to have a compromise text that leaves the first sentence "the most effective way to save energy is by not using it"

**This was accepted - with edits**

A1. paragraph 50-57

Hannah (SYG) (50-57): Was again to shorten it.

Ellen (JG): Want to keep loss and damage.

Hannah (SYG): Let's discuss.

Maelle (LJE): in favour of keeping L&D in resolution, as it's really important and a known word in international environmental governance.

**This will be further discussed**

## FYEG General Assembly Day 1 - 2023-05-11

### Welcome and Formalities, Reports and Plans

#### Present members

Chairing: Marie Stadler (FYEG EC)

Assistant: Özge Kara (FYEG Sec-Gen)

Minutes: Alva Rödström (FYEG Intern)

#### MOs present:

Albania: Te Rinjte e Gjelber

Belgium: Écolo J

Belgium: Jong Groen

Catalonia: Joves Ecosocialistes

Cyprus: Νεολαία Οικολόγων-Young Cyprus Greens

England and Wales: Young Greens

Finland: Vihreät Nuoret

France: Les Jeunes Écologistes

Georgia: SaqarTvelos Axalgarzda Mwvaneebi

Greece: Νέοι Πράσινοι

Ireland: Óige Ghlas

Latvia: Protests

Luxemburg: Déi Jonk Gréng

Montenegro: Youth Forum URA

Netherlands: DWARS



Co-funded by  
the European Union

North Macedonia: MODOM  
 Norway: Grønn Ungdom  
 Poland: Ostra Zieleń  
 Portugal: Ecolojovem - Os verdes  
 Scotland: Scottish Young Greens  
 Serbia: Zelena Omladina Srbije  
 Spain: Juventud Verde  
 Sweden: Grön Ungdom  
 Switzerland: Junge Grüne/Jeunes Vert-e-s/Giovani Verdi  
 Ukraine: Зелена молодь України  
 Germany: Grüne Jugend  
 South Tyrol: Young Greens  
 Austria: Grüne Jugend – Grünalternative Jugend  
 Azerbaijan: MIL Network  
 Czech Republic: Mladí Zelení  
 Estonia: MTÜ Noored Rohelised  
 Italy: Giovani Europeisti Verdi  
Outgoing EC present:  
 Benedetta Scuderi (Co-Spokesperson)  
 Sean Currie (Co-Spokesperson)  
 Marie Stadler (Treasurer)  
 Antonio. G. Gonzalez  
 Christina Kessler  
 Srishagon Abraham  
 Lone Kristiansen

FYEG Office Present:

Özgecan Kara (Outgoing Secretary - General)

Marie Stadler starts the welcoming and introduction session at 09:30.

FYEG Co-Spokespeople Benedetta Scuderi and Sean Currie welcomes the General Assembly.

Joves Ecosocialistes welcomes the General Assembly.

FYEG EC and Office welcomes the Assembly. Practical information is shared.

Reimbursement procedures are explained.

Safe Space rules and the awareness team is introduced.

Establishing quorum:

FYEG has 29 Full Member Organisations.

Maltese Young Greens have not registered and didn't pay the membership fee

Young Greens of Turkey have registered and paid the membership fee but they are not here at the GA due to visa issues

South Tyrol Young Greens and German Young Greens have registered late and therefore the GA has to approve their registration

The quorum is 15 MOs present.

Marie Stadler goes through the list of FYEG MOs present.

25 Full Member Organisations are represented. Quorum is met.



Marie holds the vote to validate the Presidency. It is a simple majority vote (more yes than no votes).

**Presidency is validated with anonymity.**

Presidency takes over.

Present members

Chairing: Miriam Shaw (Presidency)

Assistant: Henry Wickle (Presidency)

Minutes: Filipe Henriques (Presidency)

Miriam starts the meeting. Miriam, Henry and Filipe present themselves.

#### **Explaining and Adoption of Meeting Rules**

Miriam explains the proposed meeting rules.

Miriam calls for a vote. All in favour, no abstentions, no votes against.

**Meeting Rules accepted unanimously.**

#### **Information on guests to the GA (Observers)**

International Foundation GL (former De Helling), Moroccan Greens, Cooperation and Development Network (CDN), Green European Foundation (GEF), SFU Denmark, Mozemo, Lithuanian Young Democrats.

#### **Presentation from CDN**

Igor takes the floor, presenting the work of CDN and how Eastern Europe has common problems that need cooperation among Young Green organisations.

#### **Explaining Voting Procedures**

Chairing: Henry Wickle (Presidency)

Assistant: Filipe Henriques (Presidency)

Minutes: Miriam Shaw (Presidency)

Henry gives an introduction to the voting procedures according to the IRPs. Simple kind of voting is just counting the cards by an estimation. Secret voting is possible but isn't encouraged & needs to be requested. The STV system is explained with a powerpoint presentation.

No explanation on the elections, this comes on Saturday.

Henry re-explains the method of the speakers list. Only public information is position, name, pronouns and organisation. Delegate list with numbers is shared to the GA.

#### **Ratification of Extended Deadlines, late registrations, membership fees**

Chairing: Filipe Henriques (Presidency)

Assistant: Henry Wickle (Presidency)

Minutes: Miriam Shaw (Presidency)

South Tyrol Young Greens explaining why they were late registered: International Secretary personal circumstances, leading to a reorganisation of international representation, therefore late registration.

**The GA votes unanimously on giving voting rights to South Tyrol YGs.**

German Young Greens take the floor: Second delegate fell ill, therefore registered late.

**The GA votes unanimously on giving voting rights to German Young Greens.**

South Tyrol Young Greens and German Young Greens receive their voting cards.  
54 votes are now present in the room.

Lovisa, first delegate of the Green Youth of Sweden explains the request for membership fee derogation via video. Marie, FYEG treasurer, explains why the EC is in favour of the derogation request.

Ecolo-j asks for further information on the process, has this been requested before, suggests that they are not happy with it.

Marie clarifies what this means, why this has been granted.

The Presidency asks for a vote to approve the derogation request of Swedish Young Greens.

**The derogation request is approved with four abstentions.**

#### **2022 GA minutes**

**Approved by unanimity**

#### **Adoption of the agenda of this GA**

**Approved by unanimity.**

#### **Extended deadline for FCAC**

**Adopted by unanimity.**

Vote on whether to have a vote on the extended deadline for the nomination letter for Emil Schenkyr (simple majority)

Henry takes the floor.

The nomination letter for Emil Schenkyr's candidacy as FYEG treasurer was submitted later than the deadline, but it was submitted and Emil has a nomination from his member organisation. The IRPs do not foresee the steps to take in this situation. Therefore the Presidency suggests:

We will first take a vote on if it is necessary to take a vote to be completely sure that democracy is upheld. If voted against, we would need a second vote to officially establish that Emil can run as a treasurer. First vote is simple majority.

Vote by show of cards: No against. Three abstentions. Majority in favour.

**Therefore Emil Sheckyr's candidacy is full and valid.**

Mladi Zeleni's late application for full member organisation.

Mladi Zeleni explains why they were late for submitting their application for full member organisation.

Vote: majority in favour, two abstentions, no against.

Mladi Zeleni's application for full membership is valid.

## Day 1 - 11:30-13:00 Reports and Plans

Chairing: Henry Wickle (Presidency)

Assistant: Filipe Henriques (Presidency)

Minutes: Miriam Shaw (Presidency)

Meeting started at 11:33

Henry announces quorum of 27 MOs → 54 votes → Majority 27 votes

### Activity Report

Henry invites Antonio to present the activity report. Antonio explains the contents of the activity report.

No questions.

GA takes note of the report.

### Financial Report

Henry invites Marie (Treasurer) to present the financial report. Marie explains the contents of the financial report.

Sebastian (Swiss Young Greens) asking about no fees of the Germans in the Marie answers that they have asked this not to be published and in the future this change will be made general.

Adam (Irish Young Greens) asking about mediation costs. Marie explains that it was incidental EC mediation.

### Financial Control and Advisory Committee Report

Henry invites Marie to present the financial report. Marie explains the contents of the financial report.

No questions.

GA takes note of the report

### Executive Committee Report

Miriam invites Sean to present the EC Report. Sean explains the content of the report based on the work of the Executive Committee during the last year.

No questions.

GA takes note of the report.

### Sec-Gen Report

Miriam invites Ozge to present the Sec-Gen report based on the work of the last year.

No questions.

GA takes note of the report.

### Advisory Committee Report

Miriam invites Stef to present the report.

Point of order by Sweden, reminds people to stick to non-auditory clapping to respect people's boundaries.

No questions.  
GA takes note of the report.

### Working Groups Report

Miriam invites Shrish to present the working groups reports from the Mental Health Working Group, Racial Justice Taks Force and Beyond Growth Platform.

Shrish spoke on all three, presenting the reports.  
No questions.  
GA takes note of the report.

### Ecosprinter Reports

Hannah from Ecosprinter Editorial Board presents the report, noting how they had significantly increased the number of articles, and despite being two people, had been very effective. They had worked together with MOs that have their own newspapers to cross-publish. They stressed that language was not a barrier.  
No questions.  
GA takes note of the report.

### Activity Plan

Benedetta presents the Activity Plan. Notes that it applies from the day after the GA to the next GA and that if the GA votes for the resolution that asks to postpone the next General Assembly after the EU elections in June, the next General Assembly would be in September.

Question from Polish Young Greens: Will this include a webinar on the war in Ukraine?

Benni: We want to do as much as we can, if there's an idea for a webinar we can do that, but for example we're organising a study session with CDN on security and defence, what's a just response to that. There will also be a session during this GA on security and defence. If there are inputs for doing more we'd be happy to give FYEG as a platform for that.

Question from Irish Young Greens: What will the racial task force do next year?

Shrish: Inclusion plan needs to be renewed, how we organise gender quotas, how we pick compositions of WGs and other things. All these but with a focus on racialized and minority peoples. The resolution we submitted is just an introduction to decoloniality. It's something the racial justice taskforce will be helping with. The four themes we focus on for the campaign should always ensure a framework.

Question from Jong Groen: For beyond growth platform there's a maximum no. of people that can participate. Why? More people could be good!

Shrish: The number we came up with is arbitrary, we didn't want to make the group too big, we were worried that if we made it too big this would be too hard for the coordinators that were new to FYEG to coordinate it well. Now that it's being set up, we can see what is feasible and will look into expanding what the WGs are. Focus on specific subgroups in the WG, enabling the WG to function in a larger way with more members.



## Financial Plan

Marie presents the Financial Plan. Working on EU2024 elections, the biggest development in finances has been being granted by the European commission a much larger grant than ever before, particularly after the catastrophic issue last year for the erasmus+ grants. CERV provides a bigger budget and more projects. Lots of activities, and this is a direct result of this increased grant which will help to do lots of things and activities. Very importantly, we were able to already recruit two new staff members to help on the EU elections campaign.

Category 4 will increase. Live EC meetings will increase, they fit well with activities, increase in GA budget to balance out decrease of Spring Conference budget, and calculate for inflation. Continue to having a higher level of CDN than compared to 2021, which is permitted due to an increase in MO fees as well as other things. We are going to have an increase in representation and visits to MOs. Will work closer with Greens/EFA, and have campaign funds. Important to note for the CERV grant, this can only cover CERV activities. For non-EU members, they are more reliant on MO fees and other revenues. Allocation for next year. CERV biggest part of revenues, and collaboration with Greens/EFA group.

Question from Greek Young Greens: How do you define struggling MOs? How will you support them?

Benni: Struggling can mean many things - financially, democratically, other things.

## Campaign Plan

Christina presents the Campaign Plan.

Question from French Young Greens: 1) Many members have difficulty joining events of FYEG because of the price, and it's impossible for us to pay for them because of the budget. What can we do? How can we find a solution? 2) How will we campaign to help people become candidates through lobbying in parties?

Christina: 1) We can organise events as evenly as possible and have reimbursement rules that reflect the different situations in countries. 2) We want candidates to be able to run and have candidacy. But the context affects what we can do - there are some mother parties where the voice of FYEG is useless, while there are some where we can do something - record a video, write a letter etc, approach people etc. One thing I would urge you to do is to please, while you cannot yet sign up, you can register your interest. You can register your interest so that you get all the updates, and then when there is a specific situation, maybe at a prior stage FYEG could make a difference, don't hesitate to reach out.

Marie: On 1), we have now introduced solidarity fees in addition to the other fees, but there's also always the option to contact the treasurer and ask for a discount. Travel reimbursements take account of distance. More money if you take the train. Different ways to support more people to come. If people are concerned they can't make it, you can always ask for discounts.

Benni: On young candidates, FYEG is trying to do as much lobbying as possible. Christina was responsible for tabling an EGP resolution to make sure that the EGP is on board, so that they also lobby. Second thing is something that is slowly happening is to collaborate with other PPYOs to lobby mother parties on this. If all are doing this, the Greens should be leaders, we have another weapon to use to provide that leverage.

## Compromise Amendment Session (CAS) 3 - 2023-05-11

### Resolution 12: The EU responsibility to support the Tunisian civil society

Made by: écolo j, les jeunes ecologistes, PROTESTS, Juventud Verde, Swiss Young Greens (JVS/JGS)

#### Amendment R12. A1

Christina (EC) proposes the amendment explaining it is a grammar correction.

Theo (ecolo j) accepts the amendment

**This was accepted**

### Resolution 11: 2% of GDP towards climate solutions

Made by: Jong Groen

#### Amendment R11. A2

Hector (JE) explains amendment is to clarify the text and bring attention to Council of Europe

Ellen (Jong Groen) agrees to amendment

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment R11. A3

Natalie (YGEW) explains its a good amendment

Ellen (JG) accepts

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment R11. A4

Natalie (YGEW) says there should be a body to hold countries to account and apply incentives for countries to oblige. Happy to discuss how this will look.

Ellen (JG) says it looks like a good idea, but focus on sanctions would change scope of resolution. 5% is arbitrary so unsure if it is a good idea. International law might change the direction of resolution. Not against but happy to be discussed

Natalie (YGEW) happy to discuss

Marie (EC) also wants to be involved

**This will be discussed**

#### Amendment R11. A5

Natalie (YGEW) says the main thought was rather than EU finding ways to make profit from creating solutions, we should share these as accessibly as possible so profit isnt before sustainable energy

Ellen (JG) agree

**This was accepted**

#### Amendment R11. A6

Natalie (YGEW) this one is about not green washing but thinking about solutions across entire supply chain so countries dont use supply chain to offset emissions.

Ellen (JG) agree

Srish (EC) question if the term green washing could have a definition considered as there is no legal definition.

YGEW agrees to work on this inclusion

**This will be discussed**

Amendment R11, A7

Natalie (YGEW) Similar rationale to stop EU from exploiting other countries and passing on carbon emissions

Ellen (JG) agree

**This was accepted**

Amendment R11, A1

**Hannah (SYG) has sent agreement**

Resolution 15: Decolonising Europe starts with us: Breaking the chains of colonialism within the Green movement

Made by: FYEG

Amendment R15, A1

Srish (EC) it is a correction of the statistic

**This was accepted**

Amendment R15, A2

Srish (EC) the amendment is reframing demands

**This was accepted**

Amendment R15, A3

A compromise was sent by email by EC

**Compromise accepted**

Amendment R15, A4

A compromise was sent by email by EC

Srish (EC) wanted to keep Brussels so White reference to the movement, with an explanation and criticising the EU institutions and extend to other levels.

**Compromise accepted**

Amendment R15, A5

**This was accepted**

Resolution 5: Speak Up, Speak Out: Protecting the Right to Protest

Made by: DWARS, GroenLinkse Jongeren

Amendment R5, A1

**Accepted**

Amendment R5, A2

Ecosocialists explained

**Accepted**

Amendment R5, A3

Ecosocialists explained

DWARS disagreed with necessary you can impose limits

Cyprus legal point is disproportionate violence

Natalie (YGEW) Maybe its better to use force

Chandler (DWARS) disproportionate force instead of unnecessary violence?

EC disagrees

**Still need to be compromised EC, DWARS and Ecosocialistas**

Amendment R5, A4

Ecosocialists explained

Proposal to merge A4 and A9

DWARS agree with A4

Requel (portugales)

**A4 accepted**

Amendment R5, A5

Ecosocialists explained

**accepted**

Amendment R5, A6

Ecosocialists explained why to add this

**accepted**

Amendment R5, A7

YGEW explained why adding this

DWARS agree but to make list of groups

EC Antonio to include Roma community

EC Shrish disagree with term less positive

**Shris to send proposal to YGEW and DWARS and to send compromise**

Amendment R5, A8

YGEW explained

**DWARS still need to discuss like A7**

Amendment R5, A9

YGEW explained second part of A9

Mara (greek young greens) need to change wording because we dont need to protect every protest

“Including from violent counter-protestors”

**This part is accepted**

Amendment R5, A10

YGEW explained

DWARS disagrees with term “authoritarian” in the amendment

YGEW offers to shorten by striking everything after “strike”

**Protect the right to protest, unionise and strike**

**Compromised and accepted**

## Resolution 10: No one sacrificed for European economy

Made by: écolo j, Giovani Europeisti Verdi

Amendment R10, A2

Ecosocialist explained

**accepted**

Amendment R10, A4

EC Antonio explained this is necessary to make this proper resolution

**accepted**

Amendment R10, A3

A4 cover A3

## Resolution 14: Solidarity with Ukraine for as long as necessary

Made by: Зелена Молодь України (Green Youth of Ukraine), Protests

Amendment R14, A1

Joves Ecosocialistes (Natcho) explained

**accepted**

Amendment R14, A2

Joves Ecosocialistes (Mirjana) explained

**accepted**

Amendment R14, A3

écolo j (Joao) proposal to firstly discuss A5

Amendment R14, A4

Scottish Young Greens (Hanna) explained to take A4 and A6 as one

**accepted**

Amendment R14, A5

Idea was not to delete but to add things not to delete

Green Youth of Ukraine agree

A5 is proposed to make more clear

Joao this amendment changing A3

Raquel 42-48 need to be clarify, why we consider Moskwa as imperial and not NATO

Marta soviet mentality is still present in post soviet countries

Bernadet EC do GYU want to compromise about some parts which they want to add

NATO imperialism its not same as Russian imperialism

Raquel countries mentality is not fact, and this language is problematic

Nina (Giorgia) soviet mentality is discriminative, but agree with sentence Russian imperialism  
 Albert (Swis) it's problematic to ask international community to defend European values  
 Theo (Ecolo) to organise parallel session  
 Marta proposal to add values and mentality of Soviet union  
 Presidency proposal to organise parallel extra CAS  
 This will be further discussed

#### Amendment R14\_A6

Scottish Young Greens (Hanna) explained to take A4 and A6 as one

### Discussion of the political platform

#### Amendment 1

Jeunes Ecologistes compromised they will send sentence of presidency  
 Add gender based violence

Final proposal: We demand to all European countries an harmonized and clear definition of femicide and domestic violence, gender based violence and its penalization.

Compromised

#### Amendment 2

Jeunes Ecologistes explained

#### Amendment 3

Jeunes Ecologistes  
 EC proposed to compromised  
 To send to presidency

#### Amendment 4

Jeunes Ecologistes explained in order to be more precise it beter to use carbon neutral insted clean  
 EC against it, but offer posible compromise to be climate neutral  
 Alber from Swis against its restricting if we use carbon neutral

#### Amendment 5

Jeunes Ecologistes (Basil) explained what is problem with telemarking during air polution and why to delete

#### Amendment 6

To change from the age of 18 years ol to  
 Compromised

#### Amendment 11

Marta (Protest): The reason is because in political platform, we have false scientific fact.  
 Francescha Grune perspective from Germany it's completely different and they are against nuclear, debate about nuclear is not uniting but diviting greens and we don't need this

Knuth Norway, nuclear is fascinating as diving, but we support this amendment, nuclear is great opportunity and future of European cooperation  
 Beny EC is against this A because it's too detailed and too technical and PP it's not the right place for this kind of amendments, also let's think as federation not only as individual MO, also EC is ready to compromise  
 Joao Ecolo also ready to compromise

## Discussion of the Internal Rules of Procedure (IRP)

### Discussion of 1:A7

Sebastian (Swiss) explains that this is simply to avoid ambiguity.  
 Marie (EC) gives her speech, the IRPs do not refer in any other part of the text as three-quarters. Srish from EC mentions that the EC doesn't think the current text adds ambiguity and comments  
 Sebastian (Swiss) asks if this will be stated in the IRPs.  
 Srish (EC) answers that the term "two-thirds majority" is already used in the IRPs and Statutes. If necessary it can be proposed next year  
 Albert (Swiss) says we wanted to be as independent as possible in our use of language.  
**Will go to vote**

### Discussion on 1:A9

Sebastian (Swiss): we think 4 years max of being an EC member is a good limit. We don't understand why it should be 6 years. Will this impede diversity in the EC? And how do financial means play a role in this, wouldn't this create a higher threshold for candidacy?

Srish (EC): responds that continuity of the EC is really important and if there aren't enough candidates, current members rerun. We don't believe this will impede diversity in EC candidates. Rerunning also does not guarantee reelection. Economic means shouldn't heighten the threshold, there is no expectation of rerunning so if not possible they don't need to. If economic means is the question, we shouldn't lengthen the mandate to two years since this actually might influence someone's choice. EC is willing to compromise to restrict the co-spokes to only one renewal.

Benjamin (YGEW): asks maybe only a second rerun if not enough EC candidates?  
 Antonio (EC) says that they have been struggling a lot with finances as an EC member. Maybe not think about how to get into the EC, but think about the events being very classist (privilege of knowing English, power within their own MOs).

Marie (EC): answers Benjamin that EC is happy to talk about this as an amendment for next year.

Francesca (Grüne Jugend-Germany): says that there has been a similar argument in the German YGs. For co-spokes it is very valuable for network and continuity of the MO, so not in favour of YGEW suggestion.

Albert (Swiss YG): we see our proposal as very democratic. By long term EC mandates we are creating professionalised politicians which is not good for youth organisations. We also shouldn't be so afraid to not have enough candidates

Sebastian (Swiss YG): we think the EC's suggestion is too close to the current suggestion.

Raquel (EcoloJovem): we see there are very different views on the EC and they conflict. We want to vote and not compromise

Theo (EcoloJ): support for Raquel that these are two different

Compromise between Swiss/EC to follow  
Otherwise this will be voted on  
So, in conclusion, **this will be further discussed.**

#### Discussion on IRPs as a whole

Ozge (SecGen) introduces why there are changes. Have to be in line with Belgian laws. The statutes have to be according to these laws. The IRPs were simultaneously renewed. This is a completely new text! The comparison document was shared. This was talked during the Young Green Forum in February. This is a very political process. So take the time to look at it. No more amendments possible. Big changes are the mandate changes for SecGen and EC, and a new body for representatives to the EGP.

## Compromise Amendment Session (CAS) 4 - 2023-05-11

### Resolution 14: Solidarity with Ukraine for as long as necessary

Made by: Зелена Молодь України (Green Youth of Ukraine), Protests

#### Amendment 5

Norwegian Young Greens support the resolution as it is. Disagree with some amendments. A5 could potentially support.

*Line 27*

**This was accepted**

*Line 35-36*

Theo (ecolo j) Will it be possible to find a source for this to include?

GYoU and Protests: Yes

Shish (EC): Understand point to add reference, but it will stand out as the rest of the resolution has no references and I don't think it needs references unless we include ones for every paragraph.

Theo: It is a suggestion for counter argument.



Srish: I think ecoloj should provide reference

This was accepted

Line 39

This was accepted

Line 42

This was accepted

Line 48

Albert (Swiss YG) The reference to the Soviet Union lives on, social welfare states created partly because of multi polar systems and two powers putting pressure on developing social welfare states. The soviet union as a term is a broad term and I understand holds connotation, but from the Swiss perspective it does not reflect the role of historic social structures of state. The reference is too vague and does not add to the reality of Russian aggression.

Lovisa (Swedish YG) We have a similar position to the Swiss. I do not understand what it means by 'lives on' and I worry that others who read it will not understand. Would appreciate clarification. We could rephrase it to 'the legacy of the Soviet Union' but do not like the wording of it.

Marta (Protests) The mentality of the Soviet Union and the values still live on in post Soviet countries and Russia. We can talk and compromise on legacy or mentality and values. But we cannot delete it completely.

(Portuguese) - As we said yesterday, using this phrase is problematic. We are not for the kind of phrasing that instigates an escalation of violence, which this promotes. You did not clarify what society mentality really thinks. It is very simplistic to talk of Russia and just the Soviet Union. On Line 35-36, the need to investigate further is very delicate during a war to simply look into the war crimes from one perspective. Refers to Amnesty International report. We have to defend Ukraine's right to independence, but we have to be careful about perspective.

Benjamin (YGEW) There has been independent research showing disproportionate force used by Russia. I can send links.

KJ (Norway GU) In the case of Ukraine it is not vague what Soviet Union did e.g. wiping out Ukrainian language in regions. Soviet imperialism destroyed Ukrainian culture to a large degree.

Christina (EC) The EC also wanted to understand the intend behind the sentence. We do think it might not be fully understandable depending on cultural context. Question if intention behind sentence is to express that the RF has imperialism ambitions, there might be a way

to phrase in this direction. The term (Post) Soviet space is a term not wanted by all. A lot of these countries are biggest supporters of Ukraine and it may seem like an attack.

Benjamin (YGEW) I was wondering if the rephrasing "Soviet Union lives on", could be to "The authoritarian spirit" to describe exactly what the mentality is.

Marta (Protests) Unfortunately, the imperial legacy of the Soviet Union lives on, and it lives on in the Russian political elite, in most/many of the Russian people (due to propaganda), and unfortunately in countries with historic and persisting close ties to Russia.

Staen (Jong Groen) We would not like any equivocation between russian war crimes and ukrainian mistakes. Understand importance to mention Soviet Union. The reasons given by Putin are based on Soviet propaganda.

Andres (Cyprus) Wanted to agree with all points on clarification. Agree with compromise. I support the idea to abolish mention of the Soviet Union. Ukraine was part of SU, it is important to refer to the Russian Federation. Disagree with compromise as it mentions the Soviet Union.

Lovisa (Swedish YGs) Understand your concern but believe compromise solves that problem.

Benni (EC) Endorse working to compromise. Most concerns are addressed by the compromise. Lets listen to the people living certain situations. We can work on sentence more.

Benjamin (YGEW) Linguistically it does not say those are all the SU values, but part. We can find a way to accentuate that.

Albert (Swiss) Concern with geo politicising social structures. See in Caucasus region - when something is super liberal it is seen as great. It might not benefit Ukraine's own interest.

Christina (EC) Trying to find common ground. We could talk about imperialism and authoritarianism reminds us of its predecessor, the soviet union.

Theo (ecolo j) Concern that the sentence refers to most of Russian people. We dont know,

Ben (Oighe Ghas) Many instead of most?

Albert (Swiss YG) I don't know why we need to use the Soviet Union.

Lovisa (Swedish YG) On relevance, I think we do need to explicitly mention SU. This is what Ukraine YGs mentioned explicitly. I am from Western Europe so I do not know what it is like to have Russia on my doorstep. I don't think we are better at addressing the legacy of the Soviet Union. On many or most, in Russian opinion polls there is large support of Russian aggression against Ukraine, so it makes sense to include most russians.

I have minor linguistic concerns but i dont think it's relevant.

Marta (Protests) I feel people here are trying to water down Russian war crimes.

Srish (EC) Question to Swiss/any against SU mention. Is this a hill you will die on?

Albert (Swiss YG) No.

Theo (ecolo j) We are not trying to water down the aggression, the idea is to understand the full perspective. Polls can be biased.

Srish (EC) Many of the discussions have been discussed in last year's resolution. There seems to be a consensus on keeping reference to Soviet Union on compromise. If MOs object, we ask that you ask for a vote.

Albert (Swiss YG) Can we move to other points and see if there's compromise?

Srish (EC) remove word first "unfortunately"  
Agree

Unfortunately, the imperial legacy of the Soviet Union lives on, and it lives on in the Russian political elite, in most/many of the Russian people (due to propaganda), and unfortunately in countries with historic and persisting close ties to Russia.

This will go to vote on many/most  
Vote on amendment

*Line 54*

(Gruene Jugend) Feel the room on European values, as we do not like to speak of European values.

Albert (Swiss YG) fin in context

Theo (ecolo j) kind of okay

Srish (EC): - unite, be more persuasive and counter Russian propaganda, defend the foundations of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental human rights

*Line 66*

Theo (ecolo j) Impossible if mention of military

Srish (EC) there is no way to compromise, I would say go to a vote

Benjamin (YGEW) Question, is it because you do not want your country to contribute military aid or a general pacifist stance? Could add "Overall," if it is about the country.

Theo (ecolo j) I would say yes, our amendment allows interpretation. We would vote against.

Srish (EC) vote on specific clauses or whole am?

Ecolo j would like to scrap military

Albert (Swiss YG) is it more important, militarisation or mention of Soviet?  
(GYoU) Both. People are dying in my country.

**This will go to a vote**

*Line 71*

Theo (ecolo j) Same, the mention of the military cannot be accepted.

Christina (EC) this is not about military support but targeting countries that do

Theo (ecolo j) ah.

(Cyprus) I dont understand where the discussion is going, best to go to a vote.

(Portuguese) Are we going to vote for the military? Are the tablers comfortable with that?

Marta (Protests) Yes.

(Cyprus) Coming from an occupied country I think it is unfair to continue debating. Lets move to vote. Want to vote.

Slrsh (EC) trying to understand the Cypriot vote. This line is about those that provide military aid and standing against them.

(Portuguese) These countries that will be targeted are also Greek and Cyprus

**This was accepted**

*Line 77*

**This was accepted**

*Line 92*

**This was accepted**

### **Amendment 3 (Ecolo j)**

Theo (ecolo j) it doesn't make sense to vote twice. We withdraw it.

## **FYEG General Assembly Day 2 - 2023-05-12**

### **Introduction of Candidates including Q&A**

Present members

Chairing: Miriam Shaw (Presidency)

Assistant: Henry Wickle (Presidency)

Minutes: Filipe Henriques (Presidency)

MOs present:

MOs present:

Albania: Te Rinjte e Gjelber

Belgium: Écolo J



Co-funded by  
the European Union

Belgium: Jong Groen  
 Catalonia: Joves Ecosocialistes  
 Cyprus: Νεολαία Οικολόγων-Young Cyprus Greens  
 England and Wales: Young Greens  
 Finland: Vihreät Nuoret  
 France: Les Jeunes Écologistes  
 Georgia: SaqarTvelos Axalgarzda Mwvaneebi  
 Greece: Νέοι Πράσινοι  
 Ireland: Óige Ghlas  
 Latvia: Protests  
 Luxemburg: Déi Jonk Gréng  
 Montenegro: Youth Forum URA  
 Netherlands: DWARS  
 North Macedonia: MODOM  
 Norway: Grønn Ungdom  
 Poland: Ostra Zieleń  
 Portugal: Ecolojovem - Os verdes  
 Scotland: Scottish Young Greens  
 Serbia: Zelena Omladina Srbije  
 Spain: Juventud Verde  
 Sweden: Grön Ungdom  
 Switzerland: Junge Grüne/Jeunes Vert-e-s/Giovani Verdi  
 Ukraine: Зелена молодь України  
 Germany: Grüne Jugend  
 South Tyrol: Young Greens  
 Austria: Grüne Jugend – Grünalternative Jugend  
 Azerbaijan: MIL Network  
 Czech Republic: Mladí Zelení  
 Estonia: MTÜ Noored Rohelised  
 Italy: Giovani Europeisti Verdi

Outgoing EC present:

Benedetta Scuderi (Co-Spokesperson)  
 Sean Currie (Co-Spokesperson)  
 Marie Stadtler (Treasurer)  
 Antonio. G. Gonzalez  
 Christina Kessler  
 Srishagon Abraham  
 Lone Kristiansen

FYEG Office Present:

Özgecan Kara (Outgoing Secretary - General)

Secretary General

Filipe invites candidate Cinta to give a speech. Cinta refers to a local antifascist hero in an anecdotal segment. Equity, justice, and freedom are the basis for our fight against the far right. Cinta highlights the importance of hope and solidarity in our activism. Cinta comments



on her doubts and concerns in her candidacy and how they are put to rest by the hope that conversations with many within our political family have given her.

The floor is open for Q+A

Swiss Young Greens make a technical point and asks for more time for delegates to think of questions.

Sean (EC): how to create a pipeline from participants to candidates.

Cinta (candidate SecGen) answers that we could look into tutorship between more senior participants or elected individuals.

EcoloJ: SecGen is a very political role, how do you see yourself in the political position of your mandate?

Cinta: this year will be very political because of the elections. Also with the duration of the mandates lengthening, and the SecGen guiding them during this more political.

Has already worked with many EC members before which gives her experience.

Greek Young Greens: Southern and Eastern Mos need to be empowered, how do you want to accomplish that?

Cinta: the vision of the campaign is absolutely that we will support them. One of the tools is capacity building which we already are doing. We also need more representatives in the leadership bodies. They will bring important perspective which will in turn

Come from the south/small MO so knows how overwhelming FYEGs asks can be. This needs to be correctly managed.

EcoloJ: What is your plan for the financial situation of the secretariat? Strategies for sustainable finances? MO micro grants?

Cinta: we have diversified the kind of funds we apply to. Other competing organisations are teaching their staff to become grant application professionals. The election results will also affect our partners budgets. We also need to correct for inflation. 2) I think grants for MOs are great and something that we want.

Norwegian Young Greens: which areas do you want to work on? For example procedures, power structures, openness?

Cinta: entry level for power structures can be lower. I really want to improve on these points, openness will create more people willing to run for positions. Management depends on how the EC works. They work on the work set by the GA, taking into account their capacities and talents.

Young Greens of England and Wales: What is your unique vision? What do you want to look back on?

Cinta: good campaign, good election results. But happy enough to continue developing the activities and continuing the development of FYEG.

Young Greens of England and Wales: how are you going to include the non EU MOs in the elections.



Cinta: there are many MOs for whom the elections are not as relevant. We need to address their particular needs and struggles

#### Letter of the Selection Committee

Filipe invites Alice Hubbard (GEF) from the Selection Committee to present the recommendation of the selection committee. The selection committee was formed by:

Teo Comet (old SecGen),  
Milan Zlatanovic (EC),  
Maria Kola (MOs),  
Alice Hubbard (GEF).

They interviewed and gave written assignments to two candidates but the other candidates withdrew their candidacy.

Alice highlights Cinta's strong motivation, political sensitivity, and knowledge of FYEG structures

Point of growth is HR management. She already has experience with volunteers but needs some support but she has shown that she is very willing to work on this.

Selection Committee endorses Cinta Gonzalez Sentis' candidacy.

#### Spokespersons

Benedetta Scuderi makes her candidacy speech.

Sean Currie makes his candidacy speech.

Young Greens of Sweden: How has worked with group dynamics and sustainable organisation in the EC (referring to the conflicts within EC)

Benedetta: Lots more engagement with the East. South not as structured/developed. Can support these MOs to be bigger, more structured, and deliver vision in a stronger way. Same on feminism. Need to provide a culture that is feminist. Similar to trans rights, have done so much to stop terfs in green parties. On the candidates question, German Young Greens are so structured that they can do that, but many don't even get one person elected. Combine activism and politics. Listen to activists. Important to be independent from mother parties. Italian YGs can tell you that there have always been problems at party. Worked a lot on work ethics.

Sean: More alliances with social movements. Work dynamics, that's more a responsibility of Benni, but that tends to go through the Secretary General more. Three potential people on EC who could be candidates, planning work to complement Benni candidacy. We cannot just talk about feminism. As FYEG, we talk about feminism, our tagline doesn't say that we're anti-racist or anti-ableist, and working class. Need proper elections. Allow more people to take roles.

#### Treasurer

Emil Schenkyr presents his candidacy

#### Executive Committee

Candidates make their candidacy speech.

Antonio G. Gonzalez makes their candidacy speech

Christina Kessler makes her candidacy speech  
 Klavs Veseluns makes his candidacy speech  
 Lone Kristiansen makes her candidacy speech  
 Srishagon Abraham makes his candidacy speech

Floor is open for Question and Answer:

Protests: How to ensure Eastern views are heard?

Serbian Young Greens: How to ensure Eastern/Southern views are heard?

German Young Greens: Combining MEP candidacy and Spokesperson?

Neoi Prasinoi: How will you achieve feminism in practice?

Young Greens of England and Wales: What would you change about how EC is currently operating?

Young Greens of Sweden: Feminism, how are we going to lift trans rights in FYEG?

Swiss Young Greens: Position on Nuclear?

Klavs: Eastern very important. Need to listen more to the east. Nuclear is the most interesting question for me, kind of against, but also understand that it might be the only possible option in some cases. On how to empower women - not the strongest suite. But I hate TERFs. Important to include young people.

Emil: Not only focus on western european countries. Specific training for non-western MOs. Put money there. Candidates for EP, Emil will be on the list. Have a forum for not-cis-male people. Closing speakers list are good there.

Antonio: Have own ideas, but also represent one organisation. I can defend what FYEG defends, but have own ideas. Example of my organisation against sex work, I am in favour of regularisation. Learn and hear with south. ... Learn, understand positions, know what they want to do, what they can do for future. ... Improve safer space policy. ... I'm enby candidate.

Christina: On the east, we need to make sure we put efforts and resources there. Will hopefully have LT organisation soon too. Working closely with partner organisations. Feminism and trans organisations. You can make this one of our priorities for the EP elections. Challenge to have minority voices. Youth activism is important. FYEG is not a place full of politicians, but one full of youth activists. Place for politicians, but the ones in FYEG see themselves as activists.

Lone: Eastern voices should be heard. Listen more, talk more, cooperate more. Need to look at two ways - what are we doing now, what can we do in future. Not enough representation from the east, empower people to get to a place with that representation. Ensuring feminist spaces and trans spaces, lots of good things said, think that gender quotas are speaking lists a good place to start, but spaces have a key role in this. As a society, we learn things that we wouldn't think about, take time to learn these things, space that is safe to re-learn all these rules to create a safe space for us.

Srish: Voices of the east, do not need empowerment, are already empowered, it's the structures that are bad. Focusing on the voices of the east, I get shit done - want to keep doing that. Focusing on the east and balkans. On feminism, not just feminism, but decolonial feminism.





### Ecosprinter Editorial Board

Presidency starts the session by calling the candidates to the stage.

Basile Perrot from the French YG represents Delphine Peres, and presents her candidacy. He presents her interests and activities, as well as her knowledge of languages.

Lovisa Claesson presents her candidacy and asks for the vote of the delegates.

Theo Lecarpentier presents his candidacy, including his vision for Ecosprinter, namely during the 2024 European elections, social media strategy and general strategy for the sustainability of the Ecosprinter.

Janis Parums candidacy video presentation is played.

Floor is open for Question and Answers.

João from Ecolo J asks a question to Theo about the coordination with national magazines from Young Greens. Theo answers that he plans to improve coordination by putting together the responsables for those magazines or webzines when they exist.

### Financial Control and Advisory Committee

Presidency starts the session by calling the candidates to the stage.

Ximena Malaga Palacio comes to the stage. She presents her candidacy, sharing her qualifications in the area of finances and her activism within the Italian Greens.

Jean Michel Muhire presents his candidacy by video, mentioning his previous experience as treasurer of FYEG.

### Report on the membership

The Presidency starts the session.

Lone (EC) explains the situation with the Estonian Young Greens since their membership started in the last GA has been going well.

Christina (EC) explains the situation with the Slovenian and Valencian YG. She explains that the Slovenian YG and the Valencian YG have ceased to exist. In the case of the Slovenian YG, no delegates have been present in a GA for 2 years and no contact has existed in the past year, so as far as the EC knows the organisation does not exist.

In the Valencian YG the situation is similar, with their activity having decreased since 2017 and has been non-existent for the past two years. Antonio from the EC has managed to communicate with them, yet this channel of communication has been broken from the Valencian side. Christina mentions that according to the IRPs candidate members have to apply for full membership within 3 years, and this is the case of these two organisations so a decision has to be taken. The EC recommends that the General Assembly votes to expel these organisations.

### Tinerii Verzi (Romania)

Gabriel Bente, Secretary General from Tinerii Verzi presents the candidacy of the organisation as a candidate member.



He mentions that the organisation is approximately 1 year old, and is present across the entire country with 70 members. The goal of Tinerii Verzi is to fight climate change and has a strong leftist and feminist profile.

Christina from the EC shares the recommendation of the EC, mentioning that Tinerii Verzi is the youth wing of the EGP's member-party in Romania. While there are issues with Partidul Verde - and there are other emerging Green actors like ACUM and Nico Stefanuta - the EC sees accepting Tinerii Verzi as part of the work to renew the party, and would like that FYEG helps Tinerii Verzi in developing their capacities. The EC recommendation is to accept the Romanian Young Greens as candidate member, and wishes that this can help the organisation develop their organisational capacities.

Kasimir from German YG asks about the relationship between the organisation and other Green-minded actors that Christina mentions. Andreas from Cypriot YG asks for more information about the numbers that Gabriel shares on membership.

Gabriel shares that there are more members in the board yet they only shared some of the more relevant ones.

### Frontline Youth Network (FYN) (Armenia)

Lusine Kosakyan from Frontline Youth Network presents the candidacy of the organisation as a candidate member of FYEG. The focus of the organisation is peace, feminism and green politics, and she shares the work of the organisation in Armenia during the last years including their reaction to the situation of revolution and then war. She mentions that they work closely together with other organisations in Armenia, specially in peace education, and how the organisation has matured and has a long-term planning. FYN is already a member of CDN so it cooperates with other Young Green organisations.

Ozge shares the recommendation of the EC. FYN has 14 members and there has been low levels of cooperation between FYN and FYEG, namely no members of FYN have participated actively within FYEG. This lack of cooperation means that FYEG can't access fully the reality of FYN. The EC recognizes the work of FYN, yet due to the fact that FYEG has a structural focus on the EU, the EC is not sure if it can support giving as much support to FYN as it needs. Considering this lack of capacity to give support and the fact that there is little connection between FYEG and FYN, and while FYN has applied for full membership, the EC would like FYN to become an associate member. While the EC proposes for FYN to change their candidacy to associate, this was not accepted by FYN.

Marta from Latvia asks a question to Ozge about how FYEG can support non-EU participates between candidate and associate members. Ozge answers that the only difference is that full members have access to MO grants and voting rights in GA. Ozge argues that this MO grants are already struggling to cover everyone, and that if we had one more organisation this would not allow it to be spread through all.



Albert from Swiss YG asks Ozge if there are other organisations from Armenia and what is the biggest difference between other organisations that are members of FYEG and FYN that justifies them not being accepted as members.

Georgian YG shares her relationship with FYN, that they have worked together within CDN. She shares that she doesn't understand why the doubts. Ozge answers that full and candidate members are members and can fully participate in FYEG events. Ozge shares that she also has direct knowledge of FYN and that she sees their work as positive.

Raquel from Portuguese YG asks Lusine what would be the difference for FYN between associate and candidate. Brajan from Montenegro YG asks Lusine how they plan to increase Green awareness in Armenia. Georgian Young Greens ask why we would delay one year as time goes quickly in that region.

Lusine answers that for them the main difference would be the lack of voting rights. She further answers that they will continue to focus on their three priorities of peace, green and feminism within Armenia in an intersectional way that can motivate young people to participate in politics.

Ozge answers that we don't need to lose one year because FYN could still decide to apply for associate, if the presidency finds that change acceptable according to the IRPs and if FYN would take that political decision. Henry answers that the Presidency would accept a change now, but would further check the rules if that would be possible after the vote.

#### Mladi Zeleni (Czechia)

The two delegates of Mladi Zeleni take the floor to share their candidacy. They share that the organisation is lively despite not much support from the Czech Green Party and that nowadays the organisation has 49 members. They share the development of the organisation over the last years and the cooperation between the Czech Young Greens and FYEG, and that the organisation is ready to rejoin FYEG.

Sean presents the recommendation of FYEG. He presented the results of the Study Visit to Czechia, where he and Ozge saw a small organisation but quite decentralised and hard-working, despite being quite focused on a few very active activists.

Brajan from Montenegro YG asks if the decentralised structure is an obstacle for unity or not. Nijat from Azerbaijan asks what are their strategies to make CEE voices stronger in FYEG. MZ answers that it's not a obstacle as coordination is good and that there's daily contact and work, and that Czech YG joining as full would in itself give a bigger voice to CEE within FYEG

#### Grüne Jugend (Austria)

The two delegates share the history and development of the Austrian YG, that they were founded only in 2017 and that are still quite centralised in some particular regions. They run through their latest activities.

Sean shares the EC recommendation on the Austrian YG, and shares that the situation in a way is similar to that of Czechia as organisations who had splits in the 2010s and have refounded themselves. Unlike Czechia, the Austrian YG is a stronger organisation in a stronger Green context. The recommendation of the EC is to approve the candidacy, and asks the Austrian YGs to develop their political profile more strongly.

Cameron from Scottish YG asks about trans rights positions in the Austrian YGs. Ivana from Mozemo asks about funding. The delegates of the Austrian answers that the Austrian YGs is pro-trans rights and are working to fight TERFs within the party, and that their funding is directly from the government.

### Giovani Europeisti Verdi (Italy)

Luca and Ximena from the Italian YGs share about their recent activities and development, internally and externally. The Italian YGs currently have 1500 signed up members who are active in trying to change Italian politics. They thank Ozge for their support in the development of the Italian YGs.

Antonio presents the EC recommendation which is supportive to the candidacy.

Joao from Ecolo J asks about the contact between local groups and the national organisation, as this was an issue in the past. Sebastian from Swiss YG asks about a broader context about how green in Italy was based on movement and that now there's a proper Green party and how that is affected also by the M5S.

Ximena answers that there was that issue of communication, but that issue is less relevant nowadays due to better structure, namely with a council of the regions with elected members that brings together the information. Regarding the M5S, the goal of the Italian YGs is to be the biggest progressive organisation that gives a platform to all kind of environmental organisations. Antonio adds that the Italian YGs went from 17 members to the current number, which is unique in Southern Europe and that any communication problems should be seen in the context of this growth.

Presidency closes the session. Henry announces the reviewed schedule.

## FYEG General Assembly Day 3 - 2023-05-13

### Compromise Amendment Session (CAS) 5 - 2023-05-13

Resolution 1: End the Death Zone: Against the Inhumane Treatment of Asylum Seekers on the Belarusian Border

Made by: Protests, Ostra Zielen

This resolution was finished in CAS. There are no further comments.



## Resolution 2: Younger institutions for a greener future

Made by: Joves Ecosocialistes, Juventud Verde, Neoi Prasinoi

There was a very polite e-mail exchange.

No one from YGEW was present when we discussed this resolution, so we talk about this later in CAS. The issue is young vs under 35 years old.

**They made clear through the telegram chat that they withdrew the amendment.**

## Resolution 8: Addressing the Structural Issues Underlying the Tide of Disinformation

Made by: Young Greens of England and Wales, Scottish Young Greens, Neoi Prasinoi, Forum Mladih URA

A compromise was reached on amendment 1 by Joves. **And accepted by CAS.**

A compromise was also reached on amendment 5 between the EC and the tabelers of the resolution. **This compromise was also accepted by CAS.**

## Resolution 9: Position yourself on digital rights!

Made by: écolo j, DWARS

All the amendments were accepted by Dwars. There are no votes left.

## Resolution 13: Information technologies and intellectual property policy within FYEG

Made by: Swiss Young Greens

We are first discussing the political scope of the resolution.

Sebastian from Swiss Young Greens: at the MO forum in March we didn't hear the commitment from the EC to work on an IRP amendment so we decided to work on a resolution. After submitting the resolution, we were approached by the EC and the office. They would appreciate that resolutions do not talk about the way the office is working on a daily basis. We understand the office and the EC position. That is why we decided to refocus the scope of the resolution and give it a more political scope.

Strish (EC) responds that the EC is against the original resolution because of the fact that it wanted to change the way the office and the EC is working on a daily basis. The new scope of the resolution is a copy of the 2020 resolution and that resolution goes further than the resolution made at the 2020 GA and so it is not really necessary. We would ask the Swiss to withdraw the resolution or the MOs to vote against the resolution.

Now we will talk about the compromise amendments.

Sebastian (Swiss Young Greens): we compromised this amendment with the scottish young greens on a language issue. Amendment A2.2 and then there was another issue with the Norwegian Young Greens but it is unclear if what they are proposing is legally possible. It is possible to use anti-trust laws against companies and states. If there is no objection, we are inclined to accept the amendment of the Norwegian.

Pauline (Norwegian YGs): we agree with the text but we were a bit uncertain to move forward. Because there is a difference between breaking up tech companies and making sure new monopolies form. I have never heard about tech companies being broken up, so we are not sure about the word dismantling. This is not the most important discussion to us but we are happy to

Sebastian (Swiss YGs): we would be happy to vote on dismantling tech giants

Cameron (Scottish): the scottish and norwegian came up with a compromise. I would say this would be going to a vote.

Sebastian (Swiss YGs): the problem at the moment is that these tech giants are so big and that it is impossible to challenge. So that is why we need to break them up.

We will vote on "prevent expansion ..." or "to actively dismantle"

#### Resolution 4: A Harvest of Change: A Resolution to Reap Fairness in Agricultural Lobbying

Made by: DWARS, GroenLinkse Jongeren

##### Amendment 3

Natalie (YGW) we wanted to create a line on conflict of interest as there are politicians supporting their friends

(DWARS) Did you come up with an example

Natalie (YGEW) During the covid crisis anyone who knew politicians could bid for contracts without competition

(DWARS) We had it in the first part of the sentence but we could add it

Natalie (YGEW) it is a separate thing

This was accepted

#### Resolution 6: Concrete social policies for a real social and fair Europe

Made by: Les Jeunes Ecologistes, Joves Ecosocialistes, écolo j

##### Amendment 1

This was accepted

##### Amendment 2

This was accepted

##### Amendment 3



Co-funded by  
the European Union

Hannah (Scottish YG) This reads that the organisation was formed in 1951, was not me stating fact. We would like it to read "Since the ECSC was formed in 1951"

**This was accepted**

Amendment 4

Hannah (Scottish YG) Everyone involved agreed to this

**This was accepted**

Amendment 5

**This was accepted**

Amendment 6

Hannah (Scottish YG) It was to remove the word concrete

**This was accepted**

Amendment 7

Hannah (Scottish YG) This was because it was not reference in the body of the text so we wanted to include it earlier

**This was accepted**

Amendment 8

**This was accepted**

Amendment 9

**This was accepted**

Amendment 10

**This was accepted**

Amendment 11

Hannah (Scottish YG) this was to link energy and economic policy

Amendment 12

**This was accepted**

Amendment 13

Maelle (Le Jeunes Ecologistes) We wanted to say we accept all compromises and are thankful for the work. We ask MOs to vote for it. Wanted to raise the point that English is not our mother tongue and of other MOs so appreciate the Scottish YGs for the English wording to make the resolution more fluid. It would have helped to have Presidency/EC input before to screen.

**This was accepted**

Amendment 3 from EC

**This was accepted**

## Resolution 7: Touristification: their holidays, our misery.

Made by: Joves Ecosocialistes and Neoi Prasinoi

Amendment 1

Compromise accepted

Amendment 2

Compromise accepted

Amendment 3

Compromise accepted

Amendment 4

This will go to a vote

## Resolution 11: 2% of GDP towards climate solutions

Made by: Jong Groen

Amendment 1.4

Compromise accepted

Amendment 4

Compromise accepted

Amendment 6

Benjamin (YGEW) it includes the definition

Compromise accepted

## Resolution 5 - Speak Up, Speak Out: Protecting the Right to Protest

Made by: DWARS, GroenLinkse Jongeren

A3

Compromise accepted

A8

Compromise accepted

A7

Natalie YGEW small change

Compromise accepted

## Resolution 14 - Solidarity with Ukraine for as long as necessary

Made by: Зелена Молодь України (Green Youth of Ukraine), Protests

We had additional CAS for this resolution



Co-funded by  
the European Union



A5

We will have votes on different lines of this amendment  
 Hannah SGY why we using Soviet Union instead imperial Russia  
 Presidency we had this discussion on yesterday CAS  
 Kasemir Germany we also change european values to fundamental human rights

A3 withdrawn

## FYEG General Assembly Template Day 3 - 2023-05-13

### Voting and Elections

#### **Accounts of the previous year and financial report**

Vote. 0 abst. 0 against.

**Unanimously approved**

#### **Activity Plan**

Vote. 0 abst. 0 against.

**Unanimously approved**

#### **Financial Plan**

P3 A1 - Amendment to the Financial Plan

Henry calls for explanation of amendment. Swiss Young Greens clarify that the EC has accepted the amendment. EC confirms.

Vote on the plan. 0 abst. 0 against.

**Unanimously approved**

#### **Campaign Plan**

Vote. 0 abst. 0 against.

**Unanimously approved**

#### **Internal Rules of Procedures**

##### I1 POST-CAS 1: A9 (Swiss Young Greens)

Two year mandate of the Executive Committee, which renewable once.

##### I1 Post-CAS 1: A10 (Zelena Omladina Srbije, Young Greens of Georgia, Ostra Zielen – Polish Young Greens)

One year mandate of the Executive Committee, renewable three times

Swiss YG speaks in favour of A9, explaining that they are in favour of bigger accessibility and rotation in the EC.

Georgian YG speaks in favour of A10, explaining that for younger people it's more difficult to plan 2 years of your life, specially for people from Eastern Europe where the situation is more volatile

Ozge calls for a speech against the A10 amendment. Henry explains that it's a A9 vs A10 debate. Sebastian calls for a longer debate  
 Henry calls for a vote on having a longer debate.  
 10abst. 4 against. Majority in favour of a longer debate.

Ozge speaks against A10. She explains this has been a long thought process and that FYEG needs an EC that can execute its own activity plan, that 2 years is better for giving better formation to the elected members.

Serbian YG argues in favour of A10. She argues that there is no capacity from Eastern European young people to put 2 years of their life in check.

Quorum check: 51 votes are in the room.

A9: 25  
 Abstention: 4  
 A10: 26

There are more votes than quorum, so the vote is deemed invalid.  
 Sebastian asks for a paper vote.

Roll call is done to distribute paper ballots. All organisations are called and given ballots. The vote is done and closed.

Votes of the closed ballot are announced.  
 54 votes were cast, 23 for A9, 2 abstentions, 29 for A10.

**A10 is approved**

Georgian Young Greens argues in favour of A10 with the same arguments as in the previous discussion, arguing that Eastern Europeans need representation. Ozge, outgoing Secretary-General argues in favour of the EC text, arguing that 2 year mandates are better also for Eastern Europeans since it gives more space for people to grow in the role.

Theo from Ecolo J asks if next year changes can be made. Chair says yes.  
 Vote. In favour of the amendment. 31 in favour, 8 abstention, 15 against.

**Amendment is approved.**

#### I1 Post-CAS 1: A7&8 Swiss YG

The discussion starts on the A7 and A8.  
 Swiss Young Greens makes the pro speech arguing for clarity in the number needed.  
 Marie from EC makes the con speech arguing for uniformity in language along the document.

Vote. 12 in favour, 12 abstentions, way many against.

Sebastian asks for a recount.  
 Vote. 7 favour, 18 abstentions, 28 against.

**Amendment failed.**

Vote on the IRPs as a whole

In favour. 46 in favour, 4 abst, 2 against

**IRPs are adopted.**

Statutes

Amendments on statutes is voted.

In favour 51, no abst, no against

**Amendments are adopted.**

Statutes as a whole is voted

In favour 51, no abst, no against

**Statutes adopted**

Statutory Resolutions

SR1: Delaying the General Assembly for the EU24 Elections

No speeches.

In favour, clear majority in favour, 0 abstention, 0 against

**Unanimous adopted**

SR2: Decreasing the number of member of the FCAC

In favour, clear majority in favour, 0 abstention, 2 against

**Unanimous adopted**

SR3 is irrelevant and withdrawn.

SR4: Retroactive Three-Year Mandate for the Secretary-General

Vote. Clear majority, 0 abstention, 6 against

**Unanimous adopted**

Break for lunch.

## Discussion of the political platform

A1

Compromised new version:

We demand that all European countries have a harmonized and clear definition of femicide, domestic violence and gender-based violence and its penalization

A2

Stayed:

FYEG considers *the impacts of* climate change as a real injustice. Those who are the most responsible for climate change are least likely to be affected by it

A3

Compromised:

just move the proposed sentence, so it would read: "Failure to tackle the climate crisis would have terrible implications for all, including workers. We should take the opportunity to rethink working conditions in the context of the ecological transition. We should make the transition a just transition."

A4

Not compromised. Original amendment stays

A5

Original amendment stays

A6

To change from the age of 18 years old to *age of majority*

A7

Original amendment stays

A8

Sebastina Swiss Young Greens: Amendment have sense but this part in platform is about work

Compromised to:

Noone should live below the poverty line

A9

withdrawn

A10

Compromised new version:

We support the introduction of an EU Positive List (list of species allowed to be kept as pets)

Antonio EC: There is question how to decide this list and also this list should be regional because regional differences

A11

Protest explains a compromise found between MOs. Not pushing forward a new amendment from the PP but delete parts of the existing text that are not scientifically accurate.

YGEW happy to delete last part not whole sentence

Finland don't accept compromise on compromise

Ecolj amendment is not progressive enough we need to remove also extraction of uranium

Presidency propose to adopt amendment and to go to voting tomorrow for adopting this amendment we need  $\frac{2}{3}$  majority

**Compromised accepted and will go to vote**



Presidency proposes to have a debate on nuclear energy, there is limited time, and the proposal is to have one delegate per MO to tell their point in order to save time and to have constructive debate.

The EC: This is CAS in order to find compromise not just to debate, let's make debate in proper time and with proper conditions

Protests: Lets everyone tell one sentence for or against and then to try to compromise

Presidency lets everyone have a minute to explain position on nuclear

Norway: We support change and to include nuclear energy as potential solution

Germany: We are against nuclear power plant and nuclear energy

Ecoloj we just opening discussion but for now we are against

Protests: Nuclear is alternative for fossil fuels and way to have independency

Finland: We are pro science and pro nuclear

Scottish Young Greens: We are against demolishing existing nuclear but to build new ones

Spanish YGs: We are not totally against because some countries maybe need nuclear, we still worry about nuclear waste

Swiss YGs are against new nuclear power plants

EC we want to remind you that we are federation and we want to proposal be acceptable for everyone

## Voting session - Political Platform

### Amendment 1

Accepted

### Amendment 2

Accepted

### Amendment 3

Accepted

### Amendment 4

For 16

Abstention 10

Against majority

Not accepted

### Amendment 5

Accepted

### Amendment 6

For 32

Abstention 7

Against 8

Not accepted

Amendment 7**Accepted**Amendment 8**Accepted**Amendment 9**withdrawn**Amendment 10**Accepted**Amendment 11

Voting about two compromises against each other, firstly for the first then for second if first amendment not pass

First amendment voting

For 14

Abstention 12

Against: Majority

**Not accepted**

Second amendment voting

For 35

Abstention 14

Against 4

Revoted on demand of Protest

For 38

Abstention 9

Against 2

**Accepted**

Voting session on resolutions

**R1: END THE DEATH ZONE: AGAINST THE INHUMANE TREATMENT OF ASYLUM SEEKERS ON THE BELARUSIAN BORDER**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments.

**The amendments were adopted.**

No one speaks against the resolution.

**Majority votes in favour of the resolution.**

**R2: YOUNGER INSTITUTIONS FOR A GREENER FUTURE**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole.

**There is a majority for the amendments and they were thus adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.

**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R4: A HARVEST OF CHANGE: A RESOLUTION TO REAP FAIRNESS IN AGRICULTURAL LOBBYING**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments.

**The amendments were adopted.**

No one speaks against the resolution.

**Majority votes in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R5: SPEAK UP, SPEAK OUT: PROTECTING THE RIGHT TO PROTEST**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole

**There is a majority for the amendments and they were thus adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.

**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R6: CONCRETE SOCIAL POLICIES FOR A REAL SOCIAL AND FAIR EUROPE**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole

**There is a majority for the amendments and they were thus adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.

**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R7: TOURISTIFICATION: THEIR HOLIDAYS, OUR MISERY**

##### Amendment 4

Swiss YG speaks against the amendment. Amendment 4 adds examples which do not do justice to the problem as there are too many examples

Juvunted Verde speaks in favour of the amendment. It is important to highlight specific experiences and make it closer to our reality.

Vote:

12 in favour.

17 abstentions.

20 against.

**This amendment is not accepted.**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole

**There is a majority for the amendments and they were thus adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.

**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R8: ADDRESSING THE STRUCTURAL ISSUES UNDERLYING THE TIDE OF DISINFORMATION**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole  
**There is a majority for the amendments and they are adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.  
**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R9: POSITION YOURSELF ON DIGITAL RIGHTS!**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole  
**There is a majority for the amendments and they are adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.  
**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R10: NO ONE SACRIFICED FOR EUROPEAN ECONOMY**

We first vote on the adoption of the amendments as a whole  
**There is a majority for the amendments and they are adopted.**

No one wishes to speak against the resolution.  
**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R11: 2% OF GDP TOWARDS CLIMATE SOLUTIONS**

Amendments as a whole – **simple majority achieved**  
 Resolution as a whole – no con pro speeches. 2 abstentions. **Simple majority achieved.**

#### **R12: THE EU RESPONSIBILITY TO SUPPORT THE TUNISIAN CIVIL SOCIETY**

Vote on the amendments as a whole: **there is a majority in favour.**  
 Nobody wishes to speak against.  
**There is a majority in favour of the resolution.**

#### **R13: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY POLICY WITHIN FYEG**

There is still one outstanding amendment. There is a vote on a specific phrasing. We will vote on which of the phrasing to use in the amendment and then we will vote on whether to accept the amendment. Then the amendments as a whole. Lastly, we vote for the resolution itself.

Clarification question from Srish on how we will proceed to vote.

No one wishes to speak against the amendments.

Green card: to dismantle tech giants



Red card: to prevent the expansion of tech giants

6 votes in favour of dismantle tech giants

Majority is in favour of "to prevent the expansion of tech giants"

16 abstentions

We vote on the amendment itself with the change just voted.

A majority votes in favour of the amendment.

27 in favour, 4 against, rest abstentions.

We vote on the amendments as a whole

The amendments are accepted.

We now take con/pro speeches for the resolution:

Prish will speak against the resolution: the EC has been very clear on this since the beginning. The FYEG EC asks to vote this resolution down. The free software resolution from 2020 goes way further than the current text. The complete resolution has been rewritten just before the amendment deadline and is now talking about the same topic. Therefore we strongly ask the MOs to vote down R13. We would ask that a more detailed resolution on tech monopolies would be tabled next year.

Swiss Young Greens will speak in favour: I disagree with Prish because the resolution of 2020 has a different scope. It recommends governments to use open source software, whereas ours has specific demands to install funds for independent software developers and other things to break up tech monopolies and oligopolies.

6 people in favour of the resolution

8 people abstain

Majority votes against the resolution.

Resolution is rejected.

#### **R14: SOLIDARITY WITH UKRAINE FOR AS LONG AS NECESSARY**

We will vote on amendment 5.2 where we are voting on two compromises with one different word in it. It is on Many Russian people vs most Russian people.

EcoloJ in favour of *many* because we don't have concrete fact,

Protest using many will water down resolution

Green in favour of most Russians: 10 votes

Abstention 3

Red in favour of many Russians: majority

Accepted many

Voting on amendment 5.2 against the original text

In favour 26

Abstention 21

Against 4

Accepted the amendment

### Amendment 5.3

Young Greens of Ukraine we need to defend because it's important to word military stay  
ecoloJ we are representative of pacifist MO and we must vote against it.

### Voting on amendment 5.3

In favour 17

Abstention 7

Against 25

**Amendment from Ecolo not accepted**

Whole amendment 5.3 against the original text

**Accepted**

Vote on the amendments as a whole:

For 25

Abstention 17

Against 8

**Accepted**

Voting on whole resolution:

Portugal: It's important to criticise all imperialism and to stay pacifist, war is an opportunity which serves capitalism.

Protest: There is no pacifism and no peace when imperialist invite your county, there is no pacifism in world, please vote in favour

In favour: 29

Abstention: 16

Against: 7

**Accepted**

### **R15: DECOLONISING EUROPE STARTS WITH US: BREAKING THE CHAINS OF COLONIALISM WITHIN THE GREEN MOVEMENT**

Grish (EC) the phrasing is not exactly accurate from A2, it does not match the too (this will be corrected)

Amendments as a whole – **simple majority achieved**

Resolution as a whole – no con pro speeches.

**Vote: simple majority achieved**

A speech is read out from ecoLo j.

### Closing session

The EC proposed Predrag and Eleanor as two new members of the AC.

**AC was unanimously appointed with two new members Predrag & Eleanor.**

Evaluation form was shared and filled in.

Stefanie invites Sean and Benni to make a closing speech as outgoing EC. Sean invites people to reflect on how they may have made political debates personal debates. But he is also very proud of how passionate everyone was. Sean thanks the host organisation, guests, tech quy, presidency, delegates, office and SecGen. Benni continues to thank Ozge again.

Chris from FYEG Office was invited by the presidency to thank outgoing SecGen. Oz says her final words as SecGen.

With this the Presidency declares the General Assembly closed.

